ORDINANCE 85 - 40

To Amend the Bloomington Zoning Maps from RS to PUD and Grant Outline Approval for the PUD RE: 1900 Block of S. Henderson (Haskel Prock)

WHEREAS.

the Common Council passed a Zoning Ordinance amendment and adopted new incorporated zoning maps on June 7, 1978 which are now incorporated in Title 20 of the Bloomington Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS,

the Plan Commission has considered this case, PUD-41-85, and recommended that the petitioner, HASKEL PROCK, be granted an amendment to the Blooming ton zoning maps and requests that the Common Council consider their petition for rezoning of certain property:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, THAT:

SECTION I. Through the authority of IC 36-7-4 that the zoning be changed from RS to PUD for property located in the 1900 Block of South Henderson and more accureately described as follows:

A part of the Northeast quarter of Section 9, Township 8 North, Range 1 West, Monroe County, Indiana, described as follows: Beginning at the Southwest corner of said quarter section, said point also being in the centerline of Henderson Street, thence running North for 848.57 feet, thence leaving said centerline and running South 89 degrees 42 minutes 40 seconds East for 2310.00 feet, thence South for 848.57 feet, thence North 89 degrees 42 minutes 40 seconds West for 2310.00 feet and to the point of beginning. Containing 45.00 acres, more or less.

SECTION II. Through the authority of IC 36-7-4 and pursuant to Chapter 20.13 of the Bloomington Municipal Code, that an outline plan be approved and that the above described parcels be designated a planned unit development.

SECTION III. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the Common Council and approval by the Mayor.

PASSED and ADOPTED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon the 31 day of July, 1985.

PATRICIA A. GROSS, President Bloomington Common Council

ATTEST:

PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

PRESENTED by me to the Mayor of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this 1 day of August, 1985.

Ausan Fernandes
SUSAN FERNANDES, Deputy City Clerk

SIGNED and APPROVED by me upon this 1 day of August 1985.

States Jogned Lyris. 1. Petitin en (1900), Bridger Grenon etc.) 2. April

Josnilia Olleson
TOMILEA ALLISON, Mayor
City of Bloomington

YNOPSIS

Approval of this ordinance would designate the 45 acres in the 1900 Block of South Henderson as a PUD. Approval would also confer outline plan approval for the development.

In accordance with IC 18-7-4-508,	T homobre core	
	I hereby cer	tiry that the attached
Ordinance Number $85-90$, is a true	and complete	copy of Plan Commission
$\begin{array}{ccc} & RS/PUD-41-85 \\ \textbf{Case Number} & \textbf{which was g} \end{array}$	iven a recomm	endation of approval
by a vote of 8 Ayes, 1 Nays, a	nd 0 Absten	tions by the Bloomington
City Plan Commission at a public	hearing held	on July 15, 1985.
orty from commission at a public		
-		Planning Director
Date: July 16, 1985		im Mueller, Secretary
	P	lan Commission
Received by the Common Council Of	fice this 16	day of July, 1985. ,
Patriua Williame		
Patricia Williams, City Clerk	•	
)		
	Fiscal Impact Statement #	
	Ordinance	
Type of Legislation:		
Appropriation End of P	rogram	Penal Ordinance
Budget Transfer New Prog		Grant Approval
Salary Change Bonding Zoning Change X Investme		Administrative Change Short-Term Borrowing
Zoning Change X Investme New Fees Annexati		Other
RE to RS/PUD		
Cause of Request: Planned Expenditure Unforseen Need		Emergency
Funds Affected by Request:		
Fund(s) Affected		
Fund Balance as of January 1	\$	
•	<u> </u>	\$
Revenue to Date		
Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date		
Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date		
Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date		
Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date	(- <u>)</u>	
Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/ Projected Balance	(- <u>)</u>	
Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/ Projected Balance	(- <u>)</u>	\$
Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/ Projected Balance	Signature of	\$
Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/ Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major	Signature of impact on ex No X a major fisca	\$ Controller isting City appropriations, fisca
Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/ Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major liability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not have reason for your conclusion. Thi If the legislation will have a major on City costs and revenues will be	Signature of impact on ex No X a major fiscal impact of include the sin the fut	\$ Controller isting City appropriations, fiscal impact, explain briefly the already receiving City services.
Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/ Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major liability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not have reason for your conclusion. Thi If the legislation will have a major on City costs and revenues will is significant additional expenditure.	Signature of impact on ex No X a major fiscal impact of include the sin the fut	\$ Controller isting City appropriations, fiscal impact, explain briefly the already receiving City services.
Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/ Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major liability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not have reason for your conclusion. Thi If the legislation will have a major on City costs and revenues will is significant additional expenditure.	Signature of impact on ex No X a major fiscal impact of include the sin the fut	\$ Controller isting City appropriations, fiscal impact, explain briefly the already receiving City services.
Revenue to Date Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/ Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major liability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not have reason for your conclusion. Thi If the legislation will have a major on City costs and revenues will be significant additional expenditure.	Signature of impact on ex No X a major fiscal impact of include the sin the fut	\$ Controller isting City appropriations, fiscal impact, explain briefly the already receiving City services.
Revenue Expected for Rest of year Appropriations to Date Unappropriated Balance Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/Projected Balance Will the legislation have a major liability or revenues? Yes If the legislation will not have reason for your conclusion. This if the legislation will have a major on City costs and revenues will be significant additional expenditure (Continue on second sheet if necessary).	Signature of impact on ex No X a major fiscal impact of include the sin the fut	\$ Controller isting City appropriations, fiscal impact, explain briefly the already receiving City services.

Ву_